1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 3 AT TACOMA 4 KEITH L. NASH, 5 Plaintiff-Appellant, Case No. C04-5161FDB 6 v. ORDER STRIKING MOTIONS 7 DOUG WADDINGTON, Superintendent, Stafford Creek Corrections Center; et al., 8 Defendant-Appellees. 9 Plaintiff appealed the Report and Recommendation adopted by the Court denying injunctive 10 relief, and the Ninth Circuit has set a briefing schedule. This cause of action was stayed pending this 11 appeal. [Dkt. # 124.] Nevertheless, Plaintiff has filed a motion is this Court "To consider 12 Plaintiff/Appellant Newly Discovered Evidence, ..." [Dkt. # 132.] Plaintiff has also filed "Plaintiff 13 Dispositive Motion For The Amended Pretrial Scheduling Order ... ." [Dkt. # 137.] 14 Defendant has filed a letter response to the motion regarding "newly discovered evidence" 15 stating that in light of the stay pending Plaintiff's appeal, Defendants would respond only if directed 16 to do so by the Court and enclose their response to a similar motion filed with the United States 17 Court of Appeals. 18 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED: This Court is without jurisdiction to hear motions 19 while this matter is on appeal, and Plaintiff's Motions Dkt. # 132 and # 137 are STRICKEN from the 20 Court's calendar. 21 DATED this 29th day of August, 2005. 22 23 FRANKLIN D. BURGESS 24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 25 26

ORDER - 1